UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CORNELIUS HILL

and

TRUDIE HASTINGS HILL H/W

Plaintiffs

SCHIFFAHRTSGESELLSCHAFT MS

PRIWALL mbH & Co. KG

and

REEDEREI F. LAEISZ G.m.b.h., ROSTOCK

Defendants JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

NO. 02-CV-2713

DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS NOS. 1, 2, and 3

Defendants Schiffahrtsgesellschaft MS Priwall mbH & Co. KG ("Priwall") and Reederei F. Laeisz G.m.b.h., Rostock ("Laeisz"), by and through its attorneys, Rawle & Henderson, LLP, hereby objects to the admissibility at trial of items No. 1, 2, and 3 on the list of Exhibits submitted by plaintiffs. Defendants object to the admissibility of each Exhibit 1 (Charty Party agreement) and Exhibit 2 (Management contract) on the grounds that any and all contractual provisions contained therein are not relevant because they have no bearing on the duties owed by defendants to the longshore workers. Carpenter v. Universal Star Shipping, S.A., 924 F.2d 1539, 1545 (9th Cir. 1991); Mankus v. Swan Reefer I, C.A. 02-3425 (E.D. Pa. 2003).

Defendants object to Exhibit 3 (Shipboard Manual) on the ground that it has no relevance to the defendants' duties once discharge operations have begun.

Respectfully submitted,

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

Carl D. Buchholz, III, Esquire

David S. Santee, Esquire The Widener Building One South Penn Square Philadelphia, PA 19107

Phone: (215) 575-4200. Attorney for Defendants

DATED: March 4, 2004

I do hereby certify that, on today's date, the foregoing Objections to Plaintiffs' Exhibits

Nos. 1, 2, and 3 was served via Hand Delivery to the following counsel of record:

E. Alfred Smith, Esquire 1333 Race Street, 2nd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19107 Attorney for Plaintiffs

Date: March 4, 2004